

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)  
Chief, Criminal Division

LOWELL C. POWELL (CABN 235446)  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7368  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
E-Mail: lowell.powell2@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
JOSE TORRES MORALES, )  
a/k/a Manuel Chavez Torres, )  
a/k/a Juan Lopez Morales, )  
a/k/a Antonio Mendoza Zamora, )  
 )  
Defendant. )

No. CR 10-0726 RS

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18  
U.S.C. § 3161**

On November 23, 2010, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court continued the matter to December 14, 2010. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between November 23, 2010, and December 14, 2010, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

///

1 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this  
2 agreement.

3 SO STIPULATED:

4  
5 MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

6  
7 DATED: November 23, 2010

8 /s/  
LOWELL C. POWELL  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

9  
10 DATED: November 23, 2010

11 /s/  
GEOFFREY HANSEN  
Attorney for JOSE TORRES MORALES

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the November 23, 2010 hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from November 23, 2010 through December 14, 2010 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 11/23/10

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG  
United States District Judge